

# Management of<br/>Metastatic<br/>Hormone-<br/>SensitiveProstate Cancer<br/>Dr Nikhil S Ghadyalpatil

Yashoda Hospital, Hyderabad.

## Why is it important to treat mHSPC?



CRPC=castration-resistant prostate cancer; mHSPC=metastatic hormone-sensitive prostate cancer

1. National Prostate Cancer Audit. Annual Report 2017. <u>https://www.npca.org.uk/content/uploads/2018/02/NPCA-2017-Annual-Report\_final\_211117.pdf</u>. Accessed September 3, 2019; 2. Karantanos T et al. Oncogene. 2013;32:5501–5511.

#### Prostate cancer is comprised of multiple disease states

Localised		Non-metastatic CRPC	Hormone- sensitive metastatic PC	Metastatic CRPC	
Newly Diagnosed	Rising PSA	Rising PSA	Newly Diagnosed	Asymptomatic/ minimally	Progressed
Newly diagnosed Localised disease	Rising PSA (non-castrate) Biochemical	Rising PSA (non- castrate) Biochemical failure after	Newly diagnosed Metastatic disease	symptomatic Not treated with or not progressed on	On or after first-line chemotherapy
Newly diagnosed Locally advanced disease	therapy	hormonal therapy	Our focus today	Symptomatic Not treated with or not progressed on chemotherapy	

CRPC, castrate-resistant prostate cancer; PSA, prostate-specific antigen.

3

Adapted from Scher HI, Solo K, Valant J, Todd MB, Mehra M. Prevalence of Prostate Cancer Clinical States and Mortality in the United States: Estimates Using a Dynamic Progression Model. PLOS ONE. 2015 Oct 13;10(10):e0139440.

## Defining mHSPC

- M1 prostate cancer that (still) responds to ADT
- Not necessarily ADT naive (could have been exposed to ADT as part of primary therapy)
- Equivalent to metastatic castration-sensitive prostate cancer or castration-naive prostate cancer
  - NCCN uses castration naive "even when patients have had neoadjuvant, concurrent, or adjuvant ADT as part of radiation therapy, provided that they have recovered testicular function"

# Treatment decision making in patients with advanced prostate cancer is influenced by multiple different factors<sup>1-3</sup>

Disease factors	Treatment resistance	Prior treatments	Patient factors
Extent of disease (low- vs high-volume)	Primary resistance	Impacts sequence options (trial data and reimbursement)	Patient fitness for therapy
Distribution of metastases	Acquired resistance	Impact on subsequent line therapies (trial data and reimbursement)	Therapy tolerance and co-morbidities
Symptomatic vs non- symptomatic disease			Genetic mutations and their functional consequences
Other disease characteristics (e.g. tumour histology, rate of change of disease burden)			

#### There are very limited prospective sequencing and head-to-head studies to guide treatment choice in mHSPC<sup>3</sup>

1. Aggarwal RR et al. Oncology (Williston Park). 2017;31:467-474; 2. Burt LM et al. Adv Radiat Oncol. 2018;3:170–180. 3. Maragkouli E. Presented at: ESMO Annual Congress; October 19-23, 2018; Munich Germany.

mCRPC=metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer

# ADT remains the mainstay of treatment given along with all systemic agents in mHSPC



## ADT

#### Cochrane 2019; Early Vs Late ADT

- Surgical Castration
- Medical:
- 1. GnRH Agonists
- 2. GnRH Antagonists

Study or Subgroup     log[Hazard Ratio]     SE     Total     Vertal (weight IV, Random, 95% CI     N, Random, 95% CI     A B C D E F G       1.3.1 Advanced disease (T2-4.N+ M0), metastatic disease (M1) and PSA relapse + de-novo incurable disease					
1.3.1 Advanced disease (T2-4.N+ M0), metastatic disease (M1) and PSA relapse + de-novo incurable disease   ? * ● ● ● ?     EORTC 30846   -0.17   0.19   119   115   15.3%   0.84 [0.58, 1.22]   ? ? ● ● ● ● ?     EORTC 30891   -0.13   0.17   492   493   17.3%   0.88 [0.63, 1.23]   ? ? ● ● ● ● ?   ? ? ● ● ● ● ?     EST 3886   -1.4085   0.4293   47   51   4.5%   0.24 [0.11, 0.57]   •   ? ? ● ● ● ● ? ?     MRC   -0.2877   0.073   469   465   30.4%   0.75 [0.65, 0.87]   •   ? ? ● ● ● ● ? ?     RTO0 85-31   -0.5306   0.1468   477   468   20.0%   0.59 [0.44, 0.78]   •   ? ? ● ● ● ● ? ?     XAKK 08/88   -0.462   0.2715   96   92   9.5%   0.58 [0.37, 1.07]   ?   ? ● ● ● ● ? ?     TROG 03.06/VC0G PR 0103   -0.5798   0.5515   142   151   2.9%   0.56 [0.19, 1.65]   ●   ● ● ● ● ? ?     Subtotal (95% Cl)   1842   1835   100.0%   0.69 [0.57, 0.84]   ●   ●   ● ● ● ● ● ? ?     Heterogeneily: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.03; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 11.04, df = 6 (					
EORTC 30846   -0.17   0.19   119   115   15.3%   0.84 [0.58, 1.22]   -   ? ? ● ● ● ?   ?     EORTC 30891   -0.13   0.17   492   493   17.3%   0.88 [0.63, 1.23]   -   ? ? ● ● ● ?   ?   ?   ? ? ● ● ● ?   ?   ?   ?   ● ● ● ?   ?   ?   ?   ● ● ● ?   ?   ?   ● ● ● ?   ?   ?   ● ● ● ?   ?   ?   ● ● ● ?   ?   ?   ● ● ● ?   ?   ?   ● ● ● ?   ?   ?   ● ● ● ?   ?   ?   ● ● ● ?   ?   ?   ● ● ● ?   ?   ?   ● ● ● ?   ?   ?   ● ● ● ?   ?   ?   ● ● ● ?   ?   ?   ● ● ● ?   ?   ?   ● ● ● ?   ?   ?   ● ● ● ?   ?   ?   ● ● ● ?   ?   ?   ?   ● ● ● ?   ?   ?   ?   ● ● ● ?   ?   ?   ?   ?   ?   ● ● ● ?   ?   ?   ?   ?   ?   ?   ?   ?   ?   ?   ?   ?   ?   ?   ?					
EORTC 30891   -0.13   0.17   492   493   17.3%   0.88 [0.63, 1.23]   ●   ? ? ● ● ● ? ?     EST 3886   -1.4085   0.4293   47   51   4.5%   0.24 [0.11, 0.57]   ●   ? ? ● ● ● ? ?     RTC   -0.2877   0.73   469   465   30.4%   0.57 [0.65, 0.87]   ●   ? ? ● ● ● ? ?     RTC0 695-31   -0.5026   0.1468   477   468   20.0%   0.59 [0.44, 0.78]   ●   ? ? ● ● ● ? ?     SAkK 08/88   -0.462   0.2715   96   92   9.5%   0.63 [0.37, 1.07]   ●   ? ? ● ● ● ? ?     TROG 0.30.60×COG PR 0103   -0.5798   0.5515   142   151   2.9%   0.65 [0.19, 1.65]   ●   ● ● ● ● ? ?     Subtotal (95% CI)   1842   1835   100.0%   0.69 [0.57, 0.84]   ●   ●   ● ● ● ● ● ? ?     Heterogeneily: Tau" = 0.03; Chi" = 11.04, df = 6 (P = 0.09); i" = 46%   Test for overall effect Z = 3.76 (P = 0.0002)   ●   ●   ●   ●   ●   ●   ●   ●   ●   ●   ●   ●   ●   ●   ●   ●   ?   ?					
EST 3886 -1.4085 0.4293 47 51 4.5% 0.24 (0.11, 0.57) MRC -0.2877 0.073 469 465 30.4% 0.75 (0.65, 0.87) RTO 685.31 -0.5306 0.1468 477 468 20.0% 0.59 (0.44, 0.78) SAKK 08/88 -0.462 0.2715 96 92 9.5% 0.59 (0.44, 0.78) TRO 03.05//COG PR 0103 -0.5798 0.5515 142 151 2.9% 0.56 (0.19, 1.65] Subtotal (95% C) 1842 163 10.0% 0.69 (0.57, 0.84] Heterogeneity: Tau"= 0.03; Ch <sup>P</sup> = 11.04, df= 6 (P = 0.09); P= 46% Test for overall effect Z = 3.76 (P = 0.002)					
MRC   -0.2877   0.073   469   465   30.4%   0.75 [0.65, 0.87]   ■   7   7   ●   7   7   ●   9   7   7   ●   9   7   7   ●   9   7   7   ●   9   7   7   ●   9   7   7   ●   9   7   7   ●   9   7   7   ●   9   7   7   ●   9   7   7   ●   9   7   7   ●   9   7   7   ●   9   7   7   ●   9   7   7   ●   9   7   7   ●   9   7   7   0   9   9   7   7   7   ●   9   7   7   7   ●   9   7   7   7   ●   9   7   7   7   0   9   7   7   7   0   0   7   7   7   0   0   7   7   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1					
RTOG 85-31   -0.5026   0.1468   477   468   20.0%   0.59 [0.44, 0.78]   +   +   ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ●   ?     SAKK 08/98   -0.462   0.2715   96   92   9.5%   0.63 [0.37, 1.07]   +   ?   ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ●					
SAKK 08/88   -0.462   0.2715   96   92   9.5%   0.63 [0.37, 1.07]   -   ? ● ● ● ? ?   ?     TROG 03.06/VCOG PR 0103   -0.5798   0.5515   142   151   2.9%   0.56 [0.19, 1.65]   -   •   ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ●					
TROG 03.06//COG PR 0103   -0.5798   0.5515   142   151   2.9%   0.56 [0.19, 1.65]     Subtotal (95% CI)   1842   1835   100.0%   0.69 [0.57, 0.84]   ●     Heterogeneity: Tau*= 0.03; ChF= 11.04, df= 6 (P = 0.09); P= 46%   Test for overall effect Z = 3.76 (P = 0.0002)   ● </td					
Subtotal (95% Cl) 1842 1835 100.0% 0.69 [0.57, 0.84] Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>a</sup> = 0.03; Chi <sup>a</sup> = 11.04, df = 6 (P = 0.09); i <sup>a</sup> = 46% Test for overall effect Z = 3.76 (P = 0.0002)					
Heterogeneity. Tau <sup>a</sup> = 0.03; Chi <sup>a</sup> = 11.04, df = 6 (P = 0.09); i <sup>a</sup> = 46% Test for overall effect: Z = 3.76 (P = 0.0002)					
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.76 (P = 0.0002)					
Total (95% Cl) ▼ 2 000 017 1101 K 0.72 000 12 (000 12 000 100 1					
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.03; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 11.04, df = 6 (P = 0.09); i <sup>2</sup> = 46%					
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.76 (P = 0.0002) Favours deferred ADT					
Test of subgroup dimetences. Not applicable					
KISK of blas ledend					
(A) Nandom sequence generation (selection bias)					
(B) Allocation concealment (selection bias)					
(c) blinding of participants and personnel (pendimate bias). All other outcomes					
(u) binning of outcome assessment (detection bias). An other outcomes					
(c) incomprete outcome data (autoon bias). Oncorogical outcomes (inne-to-deautionary cause, inne-to-disease progression, inne-to-deauti from prostate cancer)					
(r) objective reporting (reporting bias)					
(d) other bias					

Flare Phenomenon

Symptomatic versus Asymptomatic

Early versus Late ADT

<sub>7</sub> Intermittent versus continuous ADT

#### ADT for Metastatic Castration-Sensitive Disease

• ADT with treatment intensification is preferred for most patients with metastatic prostate cancer. ADT alone is appropriate for some patients.

• Treatment options for patients with M1 castration-sensitive disease are:

> ADT alone (orchiectomy, LHRH agonist, LHRH agonist plus first generation antiandrogen, or LHRH antagonist)

♦ LHRH agonists: Goserelin, leuprolide, or triptorelin

◊ First-generation antiandrogens: Nilutamide, flutamide, or bicalutamide

**A first-generation antiandrogen must be given with LHRH agonist** 

for ≥7 days to prevent testosterone flare if metastases are present in weight-bearing bone

> Orchiectomy plus abiraterone, enzalutamide, or apalutamide

- Orchiectomy plus docetaxel and abiraterone or darolutamide
- > LHRH agonist (as above) plus abiraterone, enzalutamide, or apalutamide
- > LHRH agonist (as above) plus docetaxel and abiraterone or darolutamide
- > Degarelix plus abiraterone, enzalutamide, or apalutamide
- Degarelix plus docetaxel and abiraterone or darolutamide
- Abiraterone should be given with concurrent
- When EBRT to primary is given with ADT in low metastatic burden M1, the options are LHRH agonist, LHRH antagonist, and orchiectomy.
- Close monitoring of PSA and testosterone levels and possibly imaging is required when using intermittent ADT, especially during off-treatment periods, and patients may need to switch to continuous ADT upon signs of disease progression.
- 8

## Degarelix vs Leuprolide for Prostate Cancer

 Randomized, open-label, phase III trial of degarelix 80 mg or 160 mg SC QM\* vs leuprolide 7.5 mg IM QM for 1 year in patients with prostate cancer who were indicated for ADT (N = 610)



- Cumulative probability of testosterone <50 ng/dL from Days 28 to 364: degarelix 80 mg, 97%; degarelix 160 mg, 98%; leuprolide, 96%
- Similar overall rates of TEAEs; CV events: degarelix, 9%; leuprolide, 13%

\*After a loading dose of 240 mg. Klotz. BJU Int. 2008;102:1531.

#### HERO: Relugolix vs Leuprolide for Prostate Cancer

 Randomized, open-label, phase III trial of relugolix 120 mg PO QD\* vs leuprolide 11.25/22.5 mg IV QM for 48 weeks in patients with prostate cancer who were candidates for ADT (N = 930)



## Defining Oligometastatic (Low Volume) vs Polymetastatic (High Volume) mHSPC

- High volume definition:
  - ≥4 bone metastases and/or visceral metastases
  - ≥1 metastasis beyond pelvis or vertebral column
- Volume of disease has significant therapy-related implications

## **Considerations in the Treatment of mHSPC**

ADT alone or add docetaxel or abiraterone with prednisone? Triplet..

Risk and Volume of disease

Low volume: *abiraterone* 

High volume: either docetaxel or abiraterone

## **Considerations in the Treatment of mHSPC**

- ADT alone or add docetaxel or abiraterone with prednisone?
  - Practical considerations
    - Patient comorbidities, eg, cardiovascular or bone disease
    - Adverse event profiles
    - Duration of therapy
    - Administration
    - Financial toxicity
  - No data for sequencing abiraterone before/after previous docetaxel

#### Key studies in mHSPC

Study	Investigational arm	Comparator arm				
Docetaxel studies						
GETUG-AFU-15 <sup>1</sup>	Docetaxel + ADT	ADT				
CHAARTED <sup>2</sup>	Docetaxel + ADT	ADT				
STAMPEDE <sup>3</sup>	Docetaxel + ADT	ADT				
NHA studies						
STAMPEDE <sup>3</sup>	Abiraterone + ADT + prednisolone	ADT + dual placebo				
LATITUDE <sup>4</sup>	Abiraterone + ADT + prednisone	ADT + dual placebo				
TITAN <sup>5</sup>	Apalutamide + ADT	ADT				
ENZAMET <sup>6</sup>	Enzalutamide + ADT	ADT				
ARCHES <sup>7</sup>	Enzalutamide + ADT	ADT				
AREMIS Darolutamide		ADT				
Radiotherapy studies						
STAMPEDE <sup>3</sup>	Radiotherapy + SOC	SOC				

ADT=androgen deprivation therapy; SOC=standard of care

1. Gravis G et al. Lancet Oncol 2013;14:149–158; 2. Kyriakopoulos CE et al. J Clin Oncol. 2018;36:1080–1087;3. James ND et al. Lancet. 2016;387:1163–1177; 4. Fizazi K et al. N Engl J Med. 2017;377:352-360; 5. Chi KN, et al. Presented at ASCO 2019; Chicago. Abstract 5006; 6. Sweeney C, et al. Presented at ASCO 2019; Chicago. Abstract LBA2; 7. Armstrong AJ, et al. Presented at ASCO 2019; Chicago. Abstract 5006; 6. Sweeney C, et al. Presented at ASCO 2019; Chicago. Abstract LBA2; 7. Armstrong AJ, et al. Presented at ASCO 2019; Chicago. Abstract 5006; 6. Sweeney C, et al. Presented at ASCO 2019; Chicago. Abstract 5006; 6. Sweeney C, et al. Presented at ASCO 2019; Chicago. Abstract LBA2; 7. Armstrong AJ, et al. Presented at ASCO 2019; Chicago. Abstract 5006; 6. Sweeney C, et al. Presented at ASCO 2019; Chicago. Abstract 5006; 6. Sweeney C, et al. Presented at ASCO 2019; Chicago. Abstract 5006; 6. Sweeney C, et al. Presented at ASCO 2019; Chicago. Abstract 5006; 6. Sweeney C, et al. Presented at ASCO 2019; Chicago. Abstract 5006; 6. Sweeney C, et al. Presented at ASCO 2019; Chicago. Abstract 5006; 6. Sweeney C, et al. Presented at ASCO 2019; Chicago. Abstract 5006; 6. Sweeney C, et al. Presented at ASCO 2019; Chicago. Abstract 5006; 6. Sweeney C, et al. Presented at ASCO 2019; Chicago. Abstract 5006; 6. Sweeney C, et al. Presented at ASCO 2019; Chicago. Abstract 5006; 6. Sweeney C, et al. Presented at ASCO 2019; Chicago. Abstract 5006; 6. Sweeney C, et al. Presented at ASCO 2019; Chicago. Abstract 5006; 6. Sweeney C, et al. Presented at ASCO 2019; Chicago. Abstract 5006; 6. Sweeney C, et al. Presented at ASCO 2019; Chicago. Abstract 5006; 6. Sweeney C, et al. Presented at ASCO 2019; Chicago. Abstract 5006; 6. Sweeney C, et al. Presented at ASCO 2019; Chicago. Abstract 5006; 6. Sweeney C, et al. Presented at ASCO 2019; Chicago. Abstract 5006; 6. Sweeney C, et al. Presented at ASCO 2019; Chicago. Abstract 5006; 6. Sweeney C, et al. Presented at ASCO 2019; Chicago. Abstract 5006; 6. Sweeney C, et al. Presented at ASCO 2

The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

#### ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Chemohormonal Therapy in Metastatic Hormone-Sensitive Prostate Cancer

CHAARTED: randomized phase III trial of docetaxel + ADT vs ADT alone for pts with mHSPC with elevated PSA (N = 790)

#### THE LANCET

Addition of docetaxel, zoledronic acid, or both to first-line long-term hormone therapy in prostate cancer (STAMPEDE): survival results from an adaptive, multiarm, multistage, platform randomised controlled trial

STAMPEDE: randomized, open-label, multiarm, multistage phase II/III trial (N = 1917)



Overall, a meta-analysis confirmed that the addition of docetaxel to ADT improved survival in men with mHSPC



ADT=androgen deprivation therapy; CI=confidence interval; HR=hazard ratio; mHSPC=metastatic hormone-sensitive prostate cancer; NA=not available; SOC=standard of care; ZA=zoledronic acid

Vale CL et al. *Lancet Oncol.* 2016;17:243–256 This study was a combined analysis of multiple studies

#### CHAARTED: High-Volume vs Low-Volume Disease

 Median follow-up of 53.7 mo in patients with metastatic hormone-sensitive prostate cancer randomized to ADT + docetaxel vs ADT alone (N = 790)



Low-Volume Disease

#### **High-Volume Disease**

## Abiraterone Acetate: LATITUDE and STAMPEDE Trials in Advanced Prostate Cancer

The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Abiraterone plus Prednisone in Metastatic, Castration-Sensitive Prostate Cancer

- LATITUDE: randomized, double-blind phase III trial of abiraterone acetate + ADT vs placebo + ADT in patients with newly diagnosed mHSPC (N = 1199)
- High risk = at least 2 of the following 3 features: Gleason score ≥8, measurable visceral metastasis, ≥3 bone lesions

The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Abiraterone for Prostate Cancer Not Previously Treated with Hormone Therapy

- STAMPEDE: randomized, open-label, multiarm, multistage phase II/III trial (N = 1917)
- Newly diagnosed metastatic disease that was pelvic node—positive or high-risk locally advanced with ≥2 high-risk features (Gleason score 8-10, T3-T4, PSA ≥40 ng/mL)
- Relapsing after local therapy with high-risk features: PSA >4 ng/mL with doubling time
  <6 mo, PSA >20 ng/mL, metastatic or nodal relapse, <12 mo of total ADT including interval</li>
  >12 mo without treatment

#### Abiraterone Acetate

LATITUDE: randomized, double-blind phase III trial of abiraterone acetate + ADT vs placebo + ADT in patients with newly diagnosed mHSPC (N = 1199)

Abiraterone + ADT (n = 960) Abiraterone + ADT (n = 597) OS (%) PFS (%) **Placebo + ADT (n = 957)** Placebo + ADT (n = 602) HR: 0.62 (95% CI: 0.51-0.76; P <.001) HR: 0.63 (95% CI: 0.52-0.76; P <.001) Mos Mos

STAMPEDE: randomized, open-label, multiarm,

multistage phase II/III trial (N = 1917)

Fizazi. NEJM. 2017;377:352. James. NEJM. 2017;377:338.

#### LATITUDE: OS in High and Low Volume Disease\*



\*By CHAARTED definition of high and low volume: high volume = visceral metastases and/or ≥ 4 bone lesions with at least 1 outside the vertebral column or pelvis

## Next-Generation Androgen Receptor Inhibitors



- Apalutamide and enzalutamide have similar structures<sup>2</sup>
- Darolutamide is structurally distinct from apalutamide and enzalutamide, characterized by low blood–brain barrier penetration and may have improved tolerability<sup>2</sup>

# TITAN: Apalutamide + ADT vs Placebo + ADT in mHSPC



Chi. NEJM. 2019;381:13.

# ARCHES: Enzalutamide + ADT vs Placebo + ADT in mHSPC

International, double-blind, randomized phase III trial of enzalutamide 160 mg/day + ADT vs placebo + ADT for patients with mHSPC (N = 1150)



\*Included only patients with no documented progression event and censoring at the date of the last radiologic assessment prior to the cutoff date. Armstrong. JCO. 2019;37:2974.

### ENZAMET: PFS and OS With Concurrent Docetaxel



# PEACE-1: Radiologic PFS With Abiraterone in ADT + Docetaxel Population (± Radiotherapy)





SOC

(n=355)

SOC+Abi

(n=355)

parameters (RXT, PS, type of castration, metastatic burden)

# PEACE-1: Radiologic PFS With Abiraterone in ADT + Docetaxel (± RXT) Population by Metastatic Burden



\*Adjusted on stratification parameters (RXT, PS, type of castration, metastatic burden)

# PEACE-1: OS With Abiraterone in ADT + Docetaxel Population (± Radiotherapy) by Metastatic Burden



#### ADT and Docetaxel in Patients With mHSPC

#### ARASENS Primary Endpoint\*: Overall Survival Darolutamide significantly reduced the risk of death by 32.5%



#### Efficacy in metastatic disease similar with all agents!!!

	HR for OS					
Docetaxel	0.61	No significant difference in OS.				
Abiraterone	0.62	Other Secondary end points similar				
Enzalutamide	0.67	FFS, PFS, rPFS, time to clinical progression, time to CRPC, PSA complete response, QoL				
Apalutamide	0.67					
Darolutamide	0.68					

No clear evidence of superiority of one agent over the others No direct inter-agent comparison

OS- Overall Survival.FFS- Failure free survival, CRPC- Castrate Resistant Prostate Cancer RPFS- Radiographic Progression free survival, PFS- Progression free survival

Prostate radiotherapy improves OS for men with metastatic prostate cancer who have a low metastatic burden, but not for unselected patients/those with a high metastatic burden



#### Safety summary

- Radiotherapy was well tolerated
- The proportion of patients reporting at least one serious AE was similar between treatment groups

AE=adverse event; CI=confidence interval; HR=hazard ratio; mHSPC= metastatic hormone sensitive prostate cancer; OS=overall survival

#### Summary

- Key docetaxel + ADT studies in mHSPC include: GETUG-AFU-15,<sup>1</sup> CHAARTED<sup>2</sup> and STAMPEDE<sup>3-5</sup>
- Key NHA studies in mHSPC include: STAMPEDE,<sup>3-5</sup> LATITUDE,<sup>6</sup> TITAN,<sup>7</sup> ENZAMET,<sup>8</sup> ARCHES<sup>9</sup>
- STAMPEDE<sup>3-5</sup> in the key study in mHSPC demonstrating the benefit of adding radiotherapy of the primary tumour to standard of care in patients with oligometastatic disease
- Docetaxel has demonstrated a significant PFS and OS benefit vs control in men with mHSPC<sup>2,3</sup>
- In men with mHSPC, the addition of docetaxel to ADT improved overall survival, while addition of NHA to ADT improved both rPFS and OS<sup>2-9</sup>
- In the STAMPEDE trial, the addition of radiotherapy of the primary tumour to SOC translated to a positive OS benefit amongst patients with oligometastatic disease<sup>10</sup>
- In the ENZAMET study, no additional benefit of docetaxel + enzalutamide was observed in the subgroup analysis. However, further studies will assess the role of combinations in this setting<sup>8</sup>
- Triplet combination options

ADT=androgen deprivation therapy; mCRPC=metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer; mHSPC=metastatic hormone-sensitive prostate cancer; NHA=novel hormonal agent; OS=overall survival; rPFS=radiographic progression-free survival; SOC=standard of care

<sup>1.</sup> Gravis G *et al. Lancet Oncol* 2013;14:149–158; 2. Kyriakopoulos CE et al. *J Clin Oncol.* 2018;36:1080–1087; 3. James ND et al. *Lancet.* 2016;387:1163-1177; 4. James N et al. Presented at: ASCO GU Congress: February 8-10, 2018; San Francisco, CA; 5. James ND et al. *N Engl J Med.* 2017; 377:338-351; 6. Fizazi K et al. *N Engl J Med.* 2017;377:352-360; 7. Chi KN, et al. Presented at ASCO 2019; Chicago. Abstract 5006; 8. Sweeney C, et al. Presented at ASCO 2019; Chicago. Abstract LBA2; 9. Armstrong AJ et al. Presented at: ASCO Annual Congress. May 31-June 4, 2019; Chicago, IL; 10. Parker CC et al. *Lancet.* 2018;392:2353-2366.

#### Treatment strategy will depend upon

- Extent of disease / disease burden
- Pts comorbidities
- Duration of response to ADT
- Cost and availability of therapy
- ✓ High volume disease: Docetaxel or Abiraterone or Enzalutamide (?)
- ✓ Low volume disease: Abiraterone or Enzalutamide
- ✓ High risk disease: (Gleason >8, visceral metastasis, neuroendocrine): Chemotherapy preferred over abi/enza
- ✓ Severe symptomatic (esp pain): Docetaxel
- $\checkmark$  Mild or no symptoms: Abiraterone or enzalutamide
- ✓ Impending disease related emergencies: Docetaxel
- ✓ Bone Health

#### NCCN: Management options for castration-naïve prostate cancer



ADT = androgen deprivation therapy; CRPC = castration-resistant prostate cancer; LHRH = luteinizing hormone releasing hormone; M0 = no distant metastases; M1 = distant metastases; PSA = prostate-specific androgen.

1. NCCN CLINICAL PRACTICE GUIDELINES IN ONCOLOGY (NCCN GUIDELINES®) PROSTATE CANCER VERSION 1.2023

#### **Overall Conclusions**

- Treatment intensification with docetaxel or an AR-targeted therapy is the new standard of care for mHSPC
  - ADT alone is no longer the standard of care for the vast majority of men
- Treatment intensification is preferred regardless of how fast or far PSA falls
- Quality of life and patient preferences should be considered when choosing treatment
  - Shared decision-making can help match a patient with the right treatment for him